

# FREQUENCY OF ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION AND ITS PSYCHOSOCIAL CORRELATES AMONG WOMEN RECEIVING ANTENATAL CARE IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL IN KARACHI

REKHAN REKHAN<sup>1</sup>, NIGHAT ALI SHAH<sup>2</sup>, AMBER TAHIR<sup>3</sup>, MAHAR MASOOD<sup>4</sup>,  
TANZEELA FAHEEM<sup>5</sup>, WASHDEV WASHDEV<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1,2,4,5</sup>Department of Gynaecology & Obstetrics, Ward 9B, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre, Karachi, Pakistan.

<sup>3,6</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Dr. Ruth KM Pfau Civil Hospital, Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan

CORRESPONDENCE: DR REKHAN REKHAN

Email: [drrekhaddev@gmail.com](mailto:drrekhaddev@gmail.com)

Submitted: June 16, 2024

Accepted: September 13, 2024

## ABSTRACT

### OBJECTIVE

To determine the proportion of women suffering from antenatal depression and anxiety visiting a tertiary care hospital for routine antenatal visits and to evaluate the psychosocial factors associated with their depression and anxiety.

### STUDY DESIGN

A descriptive cross-sectional study

### PLACE AND DURATION OF STUDY

Department of Gynaecology & Obstetrics Ward 9B, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center (JPMC), Karachi from January 2024 to May 2024.

### METHOD

The study was conducted with 249 pregnant women via non-probability, consecutive sampling. The Urdu validated version of Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale was used to assess anxiety and depression. Psychosocial stressors were also recorded. The data was entered and analyzed using SPSS for Windows version 23.0.

### RESULTS

The study showed that 20% of women in their 2nd and 3rd trimesters of pregnancies were anxious and 5% were depressed. Critical psychosocial factors included low education, marital stressors such as low social support from husband and/or in-laws, living separately from husband, and intimate partner violence, financial stressors such as husband unemployment and pregnancy-related factors such as unplanned pregnancy and narrow birth spacing.

### CONCLUSION

The study provides a significant burden of antenatal mental health issues in our region and their specific associated psychosocial factors. The study emphasizes upon the need for Biopsychosocial support systems as well as interventional measures to mitigate any risk of developing antenatal depression and to optimize the maternal health, both mental and physical, during this crucial period.

### KEYWORDS

Anxiety; Birth Intervals; Depression; Gynaecology; Intimate Partner Violence; Pregnancy; Maternal Health; Tertiary Care Centres.

## INTRODUCTION

Childbearing is a significant biopsychosocial event that has a substantial impact on the overall health of women, which includes physical, psychological, social, and emotional components. The process of bearing a child entails considerable physiological and psychological changes. Pregnancy requires major adaptations to be made psychologically, which renders the process of childbearing a "psychological stress."<sup>1</sup>

Women are more prone to having depressive and anxiety disorders during pregnancy than at any other time in their lives. The characteristic feature of antenatal depression is that it is an episode that ranges from mild to severe, is non-psychotic, and that it typically occurs during pregnancy. In various reports, it has been shown that globally, the prevalence of antenatal depression ranges from 15-65%.<sup>2</sup> The perinatal depression in low-to-middle-income countries (LMICs) in a recently published meta-analysis showed a pooled prevalence of 24.7%.<sup>3</sup> Similarly, the antenatal anxiety symptoms across LMICs show a pooled prevalence of 29.2%, and that of anxiety disorders was 8.1%.<sup>4</sup> Predominantly, antenatal anxiety disorders are preexisting psychiatric comorbidities and are exacerbated by the stressors (biological, psychological, and social) linked with pregnancy. Currently, there is a lack of any nationwide data regarding the prevalence of antenatal depression and anxiety reported from Pakistan. In one of the cross-sectional studies based in Mardan, which had 212 pregnant women enrolled in the study, 20.8% suffered from mild anxiety, 29.2% reported moderate anxiety, and 17% had severe anxiety.<sup>5</sup>

The development of prenatal depression and anxiety can be linked back to several risk factors. Antenatal depression is reported to be three times more frequently present in women who have disturbed marital relationships.<sup>6</sup> Other locally explored factors include older age, low education, separation from husband, interpersonal conflicts with in-laws, burden of household chores, and fear of childbirth.<sup>5,7-9</sup>

The mental state and mood during the most of the antenatal period may determine the consequent postpartum mood, which will in turn anticipate the quality of mother-baby bonding in the nascent phase of the newborn's life. It leads to lasting effects on the overall bonding of the mother and the child as well as the whole family unit in general and, more specifically, the neurodevelopmental growth and outcomes of the child.<sup>10,11</sup> Good mental health is fundamental for the

antenatal and postnatal wellbeing of mother and child both. Hence, it is essential to give antenatal depression and anxiety their due importance in terms of scientific evidence as well as early detection and management. This study aimed to identify the frequency of women suffering from the antenatal depression and anxiety symptoms, visiting a tertiary care hospital for routine antenatal visits to evaluate the psychosocial factors associated with their depression and anxiety. research team.

## METHOD

A cross-sectional study was carried out at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre (JPMC), Karachi, from January 2024 to May 2024.

Non-probability, consecutive sampling technique was adopted. The sample size was calculated using OpenEpi version 3, open-source calculator-SSPropor. With an estimated frequency of 80% of women screened positive combined for anxiety and depression on HADS, 12 absolute precision of 5%, and confidence interval of 95%, the sample size calculated was 246.

The inclusion criteria comprised women aged 18-35 years attending the obstetric outpatient clinic for their routine antenatal visit. Women with known medical comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases were excluded as they can act as confounding variables to anxiety and depression.

The study was initiated after attaining approval from the Institutional Review Board of JPMC (Ref. NO.F.2-81/2023-GENL/181/JPMC, dated: 14-12-2023). Patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria of the study will be recruited, and informed consent was taken. Data was collected on a semi-structured proforma comprising two parts: i) socio-demographic characteristics and psychosocial correlates, and (ii) the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). HADS is a validated and reliable 13 self-rated tool that has 14 items (7 for anxiety and 7 for depression). Each item is rated on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from '0' (not at all) to '3' (most of the time). The standard scoring algorithm was used = sum of items (anxiety) 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and depression = sum of items 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, where starred items were reverse records. Total scores on these subscales ranged from 0 to 21. A score of 07 is considered normal, 8-10 as borderline, and 11-21 as either anxious or depressed. 13 HADS has been validated in the Urdu language by Lodhi and colleagues. Cronbach's alpha coefficient is 0.82 for the anxiety subscale and 0.64 for the depression subscale, while the overall alpha of the HADS (Urdu version) is 0.84.<sup>14</sup>

All data was collected on anonymous sheets to maintain patient confidentiality. Data was only shared with the primary research team. All physical data was stored in the department locker, and electronic data was stored in password-protected files. Patients who were screened positive for HADS for anxiety and depression were referred to the Department of Psychiatry for detailed assessment and management.

Data was entered and analysed using SPSS for Windows version 23.0. For quantitative data, mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated. A parametric test was applied

after testing the data for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. P-value  $\geq 0.05$  indicated normal data. HADS was analysed according to the scoring criteria mentioned above. Frequency and percentages of qualitative data were calculated. Effect modifiers were stratified to see their effect on the outcome variables. The chi-square test was applied after stratification. However, if the frequency is  $\leq 5$  in any cell Fisher's Exact Test was applied. A p-value of  $\leq 0.05$  was taken as significant.

## RESULTS

Two hundred and forty-nine pregnant women with a mean age of  $25.85 \pm 4.32$  years were included in the study. Their anxiety and depression scores on HADS are summarised in Table 1, which shows that almost half of the sample (54%) was borderline anxious or anxious and 34.5% of the women were borderline depressed or depressed (Table 1).

**Table 1**  
Anxiety and Depression scores on Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale

	Normal n (%)	Borderline n (%)	Anxious/ Depressed n (%)	Total n (%)
Anxiety	115 (46.2%)	85 (34.1%)	49 (19.7%)	249 (100%)
Depression	157 (63.1%)	79 (31.7%)	13 (5.2%)	249 (100%)

The psychosocial correlates included in the study comprised socioeconomic factors and pregnancy-related factors, as summarised in Table 2. As shown in Table 2, as education status increased, the depression scores significantly decreased. In terms of financial stressors, women involved in domestic home chores were more significantly depressed as compared to women occupied in paid labor. Furthermore, for participants whose husbands were unemployed; depression was significantly severe. Women with unplanned pregnancies were more significantly depressed as compared to those where the pregnancy was planned. Women in their second trimester and women with the closer gap between children (1-3 years) were more significantly depressed as compared to women with >3 years of gap between pregnancies.

**Table 2**  
Association of psychosocial factors with severity of depression

Psychosocial correlates of participants	Total n (%)	Depression categories n (%)			p-value
		Normal	Borderline	Depressed	
<b>Age</b>					
18-25 years	153 (61.4%)	92 (60.1%)	53 (34.6%)	8 (5.2%)	0.456
26-35 years	96 (38.6%)	65 (67.7%)	26 (27.1%)	5 (5.2%)	
<b>Education</b>					
No education	52 (20.9%)	37 (71.2%)	9 (17.3%)	6 (11.5%)	0.000
Primary to secondary	110 (44.2%)	38 (34.5%)	65 (59.1%)	7 (6.4%)	
Metric to intermediate	50 (20.1%)	45 (90.0%)	5 (10.0%)	0	
Bachelors and above	37 (14.9%)	37 (100%)	0	0	
<b>Marital status</b>					
Living with husband	241 (96.8%)	149 (61.8%)	79 (32.8%)	13 (5.4%)	0.089
Separated from husband	8 (3.2%)	8 (100%)	0	0	
<b>Occupation</b>					
Unskilled labor	14 (5.6%)	14 (100%)	0	0	0.005
Domestic chores	187 (75.1%)	104 (55.6%)	70 (37.4%)	13 (7.0%)	

Husband employment					
Employed	208 (83.5%)	157 (75.5%)	51 (24.5%)	0	0.000
Unemployed	41 (16.5%)	0	28 (68.3%)	13 (31.7%)	
Social support					
No Support	35 (14.1%)	8 (22.9%)	14 (40.0%)	13 (37.1%)	0.000
Support from husband	192 (77.1%)	141 (73.4%)	51 (26.6%)	0	
Support from in-laws	22 (8.8%)	8 (36.4%)	14 (63.6%)	0	
Family planning					
Planned	171 (68.7%)	128 (74.9%)	36 (21.1%)	7 (4.1%)	0.000
Unplanned	78 (31.3%)	29 (37.2%)	43 (55.1%)	6 (7.7%)	
Pregnancy Trimester					
2 <sup>nd</sup>	58 (23.3%)	22 (37.9%)	30 (51.7%)	6 (10.3%)	0.000
3 <sup>rd</sup>	191 (76.7%)	135 (70.7%)	49 (25.7%)	7 (3.7%)	
Birth Spacing					
1 <sup>st</sup> Pregnancy	7 (2.8%)	0	7 (100%)	0	0.000
1-3 years	167 (67.1%)	103 (61.7%)	58 (34.7%)	6 (3.6%)	
>3 years	21 (8.4%)	21 (100%)	0	0	
Intimate partner violence					
Family Psychiatric History	13 (5.2%)	0	5 (38.5%)	0	0.632
Past History of deliberate self-harm					
	21 (8.4%)	0	14 (66.7%)	7 (33.3%)	0.000

Family planning					
Planned	171 (68.7%)	94 (55.0%)	48 (28.1%)	29 (17.0%)	0.000
Unplanned	78 (31.3%)	21 (26.9%)	37 (47.4%)	20 (25.6%)	
Pregnancy Trimester					
2 <sup>nd</sup>	58 (23.3%)	10 (17.2%)	42 (72.4%)	6 (10.3%)	0.000
3 <sup>rd</sup>	191 (76.7%)	105 (55.0%)	43 (22.5%)	43 (22.5%)	
Birth Spacing					
1 <sup>st</sup> Pregnancy	7 (2.8%)	7 (100%)	0	0	0.004
1-3 years	167 (67.1%)	74 (44.3%)	59 (35.3%)	34 (20.4%)	
>3 years	21 (8.4%)	9 (42.9%)	12 (57.1%)	0	
Intimate partner violence					
Family Psychiatric History	13 (5.2%)	0	13 (100%)	0	0.000
Past History of deliberate self-harm					
	21 (8.4%)	0	0	21 (100%)	0.000

The association of anxiety scores with the psychosocial factors was not also very different; although no participant scored on severe anxiety. Similar to the pattern of depression scores, anxiety scores were less severe for more educated participants. All of the women living separately from their husbands, those whose husbands were unemployed, and those with no social support scored significantly high on moderate anxiety. Women with planned pregnancies scored normal-mild on anxiety scale and those with unplanned ones scored significantly anxious. Similarly, none of the women with longer birth spacing scored moderate on anxiety. All of the women with intimate partner violence and a past history of self-harm scored moderately on the anxiety scale. The differences were statistically significant as shown in Table 3.

**Table 3**  
**Association of Psychosocial Factors with Severity of Anxiety**

Psychosocial correlates of participants	Total n (%)	Depression categories n (%)			P value
		Normal	Mild	Moderate	
<b>Age</b>					
18-25 years	153 (61.4%)	71 (46.4%)	50 (32.7%)	32 (20.9%)	0.756
26-35 years	96 (38.6%)	44 (45.8%)	35 (36.5%)	17 (17.7%)	
<b>Education</b>					
No education	52 (20.9%)	29 (55.8%)	17 (32.7%)	6 (11.5%)	0.000
Primary to secondary	110 (44.2%)	45 (40.9%)	30 (27.3%)	35 (31.8%)	
Matric to intermediate	50 (20.1%)	26 (52.0%)	24 (48.0%)	0	
Bachelors and above	37 (14.9%)	15 (40.5%)	14 (37.8%)	8 (21.6%)	
<b>Marital status</b>					
Living with husband	241 (96.8%)	115 (47.7%)	85 (35.3%)	41 (17.0%)	0.000
Separated from husband	8 (3.2%)	0	0	8 (100%)	
<b>Occupation</b>					
Unskilled labor	14 (5.6%)	7 (50.0%)	7 (50.0%)	0	0.088
Domestic chores	187 (75.1%)	69 (36.9%)	69 (36.9%)	49 (26.2%)	
<b>Husband employment</b>					
Employed	208 (83.5%)	115 (55.3%)	85 (40.9%)	8 (3.8%)	0.000
Unemployed	41 (16.5%)	0	0	41 (100%)	
<b>Social support</b>					
No Support	35 (14.1%)	0	0	35 (100%)	0.000
Support from husband	192 (77.1%)	107 (55.7%)	85 (44.3%)	0	
Support from in-laws	22 (8.8%)	8 (36.4%)	0(%)	14 (63.6%)	

## DISCUSSION

The study reports that 20% of women in their 2nd and 3rd trimester of pregnancies suffered from moderate anxiety and 5% suffered from moderate to severe depression. Critical psychosocial factors elicited in this study included low education, marital stressors such as low social support from husband and/or in laws, living separately from husband, and intimate partner violence. Financial stressors such as husband unemployment and pregnancy-related factors such as unplanned pregnancy and narrow birth spacing were also highlighted as significant stressors in our sample.

The local evidence is extensive in terms of small cross-sectional studies. In a previous study with a cohort from 2004-2005 carried out in Karachi, 24% of women were screened for antenatal depression.<sup>15</sup> In 2010, a cross-sectional study done to assess the rates of suicide in pregnant women utilised the Aga Khan University Anxiety and Depression Scale Short Form (AKUADS-SF) and reported that 18% of their sample from Hyderabad was screened positive for depression/anxiety.<sup>16</sup> In a cross-sectional study from 2011-2012 carried out in Peshawar, 45% of the screened women showed composite signs of antenatal distress on the Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale 21 (DASS-21).<sup>17</sup> A study from a private hospital in Karachi, which was carried out in 2016, shows that 45% of women were screened for moderate to severe antenatal depression on Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9).<sup>18</sup> In another study based in Karachi in 2016-2017, HADS was employed. This study showed that 25% of women had anxiety and 42.3% had depression.<sup>19</sup>

Additionally, a study was published in 2019 from Karachi, which showed that 30% of pregnant women were screened for anxiety and depression on PHQ-9.<sup>20</sup> There were two local studies that extensively studied antenatal anxiety; they reported that 21% of pregnant women have mild anxiety, 29% have moderate anxiety, and 17% have severe anxiety. Overall, 49% of women were found to be anxious.<sup>5, 21</sup> Globally, the data from other LMICs is somewhat similar. In a study with a sample of 946 South African women, 27% were suffering from antenatal depression and 15% were having antenatal anxiety.<sup>22</sup> Data from five studies conducted in Ethiopia showed the pooled prevalence to be 25%.<sup>23</sup> In India, there was a recent meta-analysis done that showed the pooled prevalence of CAMDs was 22%.<sup>24</sup>

The study identified several factors significantly associated with antenatal depression, echoing findings from various global studies. Marital status and partner support emerged as key factors, consistent with research conducted in Poland, Nigeria, Kenya, and Ethiopia.<sup>25-28</sup> This correlation suggests that the support provided by partners both psychological and practical may have a pivotal role in mitigating the risk of depression during pregnancy. In a similar manner, a family history of depression was linked to antenatal depression, reflecting genetic and psychosocial influences within family dynamics.

Pregnancy planning also surfaced as a contributing factor, with findings paralleling studies from Nigeria and Ethiopia.<sup>25,26</sup> These press upon the importance of psychological readiness and preparedness for conception in influencing maternal mental health during gestation. Additionally, the study highlighted the impact of social support, aligning with research from the United States and Kenya.<sup>28,29</sup> It highlights the protective role of social support in bolstering mental well-being and buffering against depression during pregnancy.

Moreover, intimate partner violence emerged as a significant correlate, mirroring findings from South Africa, Kenya, and Ethiopia.<sup>28,30,31</sup> This suggests the detrimental effects of abuse by intimate partners, which may be physical, psychological, or sexual, especially within the duration of pregnancy, on maternal mental health. Overall, the study highlights the complex interplay of psychosocial factors in influencing antenatal depression, emphasising the need for strong support systems and interventions to safeguard maternal health during pregnancy, especially mental health.

### CONCLUSION

The study provides valuable insights into the frequency and correlates of antenatal anxiety and depression in pregnant women. While it offers important contributions to the existing literature by highlighting specific psychosocial factors related to maternal mental health during pregnancy, it is not without its share of limitations. Subsequent studies should plan to address these limitations by employing longitudinal designs, ensuring representative sampling, and incorporating comprehensive assessment methods to further advance our understanding of maternal mental health during pregnancy and inform effective interventions. Overall, the study emphasises the need for biopsychosocial support systems and interventions to mitigate the risk of antenatal depression and safeguard maternal well-being during this critical period.

### REFERENCES

1. Frank MA, Tuber SB, Slade A, Garrod E. Mothers' fantasy representations and infant security of attachment: A Rorschach study of first pregnancy. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*. 1994;11(4), 475-490. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0079578>
2. Dadi AF, Miller ER, Bisetegn TA, Mwanri L. Global burden of antenatal depression and its association with adverse birth outcomes: an umbrella review. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):173. doi:10.1186/s12889-020-8293-9
3. Roddy Mitchell A, Gordon H, Lindquist A, et al. Prevalence of Perinatal Depression in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2023;80(5):425-431. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2023.0069
4. Nielsen-Scott M, Fellmeth G, Opondo C, Alderdice F. Prevalence of perinatal anxiety in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord*. 2022;306:71-79. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2022.03.032
5. Gul E, Muneeb PM, Azeemi MUH, Khan MA, Shah S. Antenatal anxiety and depression among pregnant women attending tertiary care hospital, Mardan, Pakistan. *Khyber Med Univ J*. 2019;11(3):160-4. doi: <https://doi.org/10.35845/kmuj.2019.18607>
6. Escribè-Agüir V, Gonzalez-Galarzo MC, Barona-Vilar C, Artazcoz L. Factors related to depression during pregnancy: are there gender differences?. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2008;62(5):410-414. doi:10.1136/jech.2007.063016
7. Humayun A, Haider II, Imran N, et al. Antenatal depression and its predictors in Lahore, Pakistan. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2013;19:327332. doi:10.26719/2013.19.4.327
8. Kazi A, Fatmi Z, Hatcher J, Kadir MM, Niaz U, Wasserman GA. Social environment and depression among pregnant women in urban areas of Pakistan: importance of social relations. *Soc Sci Med*. 2006;63(6):1466-1476. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.05.019
9. Gul F, Sherin A, Jabeen M, Khan SA. Association of stress with anxiety and depression during pregnancy. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2017;67(12):1803-1808.
10. Daglar G, Nur N. Level of mother-baby bonding and influencing factors during pregnancy and postpartum period. *Psychiatr Danub*. 2018;30(4):433-440. doi:10.24869/psyd.2018.433
11. Ohoka H, Koide T, Goto S, et al. Effects of maternal depressive symptomatology during pregnancy and the postpartum period on infant-mother attachment. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2014;68(8):631-639. doi:10.1111/pcn.12171
12. Ahmed M, Amin F, Taj A, Durrani N. Antenatal anxiety and depression: Frequency and correlates during the COVID-19 pandemic in Pakistan. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2022;11(10):6407-6415. doi:10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_911\_22
13. Luna D, Castañeda-Hernández DV, Guadarrama-Arteaga AL, Figuerola-Escoto RP, García-Arista A, Ixtla-Pérez MB, et al. Psychometric properties of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in Mexican pregnant women. *Salud Mental*. 2020;43(3), 137-146. doi: <https://doi.org/10.17711/SM.0185-3325.2020.019>
14. Lodhi FS, Elsous AM, Irum S, Khan AA, Rabbani U. Psychometric properties of the Urdu version of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) among pregnant women in Abbottabad, Pakistan. *Gen Psychiatr*. 2020;33(5):e100276. doi:10.1136/gpsych-2020-100276

15. Husain N, Munshi T, Jafri F, et al. Antenatal Depression is Not Associated with Low-Birth Weight: A Study from Urban Pakistan. *Front Psychiatry*. 2014;5:175. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2014.00175
16. Asad N, Karmaliani R, Sullaiman N, et al. Prevalence of suicidal thoughts and attempts among pregnant Pakistani women. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2010;89(12):1545-1551. doi:10.3109/00016349.2010.526185
17. Din ZU, Ambreen S, Iqbal Z, Iqbal M, Ahmad S. Determinants of Antenatal Psychological Distress in Pakistani Women. *Noro Psikiyatrs Ars*. 2016;53(2):152-157. doi:10.5152/npa.2015.10235
18. Ishtiaque S, Sultana S, Malik U, Yaqoob U, Hussain S. Prevalence of antenatal depression and associated risk factors among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in Karachi, Pakistan. *Rawal Medical Journal*. 2020;45(2):434-438.
19. Rabia S, Nusrat U, Qazi S. Frequency and risk profiles associated with antenatal anxiety and depression in middle socioeconomic women. *Annals of Abbasi Shaheed Hospital and Karachi Medical & Dental College*. 2017;22(2):889-896. doi:https://doi.org/10.58397/ashkmdc.v22i2.106
20. Saeed S, Hasnny SF, Ali T, Tanweer A, Ali A, Younus M. Existing Antenatal depression among pregnant women attending Antenatal clinic at tertiary care hospital, Karachi. *Pak J Surg*. 2019;35(4):335-341.
21. Waqas A, Raza N, Lodhi HW, Muhammad Z, Jamal M, Rehman A. Psychosocial factors of antenatal anxiety and depression in Pakistan: is social support a mediator?. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(1):e0116510. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116510
22. Redinger S, Norris SA, Pearson RM, Richter L, Rochat T. First trimester antenatal depression and anxiety: prevalence and associated factors in an urban population in Soweto, South Africa. *J Dev Orig Health Dis*. 2018;9(1):30-40. doi:10.1017/S204017441700071X
23. Getinet W, Amare T, Boru B, Shumet S, Worku W, Azale T. Prevalence and Risk Factors for Antenatal Depression in Ethiopia: Systematic Review. *Depress Res Treat*. 2018;2018:3649269. Doi:10.1155/2018/3649269
24. Kalra H, Tran TD, Romero L, Chandra P, Fisher J. Prevalence and determinants of antenatal common mental disorders among women in India: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Womens Ment Health*. 2021;24(1):29-53. Doi:10.1007/s00737-020-01024-0
25. Getinet W, Amare T, Boru B, Shumet S, Worku W, Azale T. Prevalence and Risk Factors for Antenatal Depression in Ethiopia: Systematic Review. *Depress Res Treat*. 2018;2018:3649269. doi:10.1155/2018/3649269
26. Thompson O, Ajayi I. Prevalence of Antenatal Depression and Associated Risk Factors among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinics in Abeokuta North Local Government Area, Nigeria. *Depress Res Treat*. 2016;2016:4518979. doi:10.1155/2016/4518979
27. Podolska M, Sipak-Szmigiel O. Stan cywilny a nasilenie objawów depresji okołoporodowej wśród kobiet ciężarnych [Marital status and the severity of perinatal depression among pregnant women]. *Ann Acad Med Stetin*. 2010;56(1):87-92.
28. Miriri HK, Mweu MM and Olenja JM. Determinants of prenatal depression among women attending the antenatal clinic at a referral facility in Mombasa County, Kenya: a case control study [version 2; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]. *F1000Research*. 2020;9:36. doi: https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22017.2
29. Shwarz M, Collins BN, Nair US. Factors associated with maternal depressive symptoms among low-income, African American smokers enrolled in a secondhand smoke reduction programme. *Ment Health Fam Med*. 2012;9(4):275-287.
30. Govender D, Naidoo S, Taylor M. Antenatal and Postpartum Depression: Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors among Adolescents' in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Depress Res Treat*. 2020;2020:5364521. doi:10.1155/2020/5364521
31. Ayano G, Tesfaw G, Shumet S. Prevalence and determinants of antenatal depression in Ethiopia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(2):e0211764. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0211764

**AUTHOR(S) CONTRIBUTION / UNDERTAKING FORM**

Sr. #	Author(s) Name	Author(s) Affiliation	Contribution
1.	REKHAN REKHAN	Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre	Manuscript Writing, Data Collection, Design & Conduction
2.	NIGHAT ALI SHAH	Jinnah Sindh Medical University (JSMU)	Research Idea, Data Analysis, Critical Evaluation
3.	AMBER TAHIR	Civil Hospital Karachi	Literature Search, data Collection, Manuscript Writing
4.	MAHAR MASOOD	Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre	Literature Search, Data Collection, and compiled data
5.	TANZEELA FAHEEM	Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre	Literature Search, Data Collection, and compiled data
6.	WASHDEV WASHDEV	Dow University of Health Sciences	Research Design, Data Analysis & Critical Evaluation

**COPYRIGHT**  
Copyright ©2024 JPPS. Published by Pakistan Psychiatric Society. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/> This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

