

THE FAMILY IN SCHIZOPHRENIA; PERCEPTIONS OF PATIENTS AND THEIR NORMAL SIBLINGS

Avinash De Sousa

ABSTRACT

Objective: To study the differences in perception between patients with schizophrenia and their normal siblings with regard to family functioning and support.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Place & duration of study: The study was carried out in Get well clinic and nursing home, Mumbai, India from January 2001 to January 2006.

Subjects & Methods: 300 patients and 300 normal siblings were the subject of the study. A multidimensional semi-structured interview, the Family Functioning Scale, Family Assessment Device and Family Support Scale were used to elicit these differences. The data was tabulated and statistically analyzed.

Results: Conflicts, expressiveness, organization, family sociability, laissez faire family style, democracy and idealization were the factors that were most significant on family functioning ($p < 0.0005$). Significant differences were also noted in perception of general family functioning and family support ($p = 0.0001$).

Conclusions: We conclude that relatives and patients perceive their family and their family functioning differently and family dynamics must be delved into in the management of schizophrenia.

Key words: Family Functioning, Schizophrenia.

INTRODUCTION

The family is both a system and a unit in society, a primary multifunctional institution into which all human beings are born, brought up and nurtured by various interpersonal relationships. Thus family serves as the basic architect of the individual's personality¹⁻³. The inter-relationship of the individual and the family members determine the disposition to illness and health in every stage of life right from infancy to old age⁴. The family is strategic centre to understand human emotions and relationships that play pivotal roles in both positive health and disease⁵. It is the major support system for the patient that is mentally ill but at times the patient is often deprived of psychiatric treatment due to family burdens that exist⁶⁻⁸.

Schizophrenia is an illness that causes severe disturbances in thought, perceptions and emotions of patients starting early in adolescence and producing severe psychological, social and occupational disability that often disrupts the most creative and productive years of a person's life⁹. Within family studies in schizophrenia, the mother child relationship has been the

focus of research and found to be disordered by many researchers¹⁰⁻¹⁴. Concepts based on family malfunction and disordered family interaction such as pseudo-mutuality, emotional divorce, isolation, alienation, scape-goating, marital schism and marital skew have also highlighted schizophrenia literature over the years¹⁵⁻²¹.

Various researchers have explored the burden on families of patients with schizophrenia but few have delved into the way the patient and his normal relatives or siblings perceive their family and its functioning²²⁻²⁶.

Research points towards various determinants as a cause of schizophrenia – genetic, biochemical, social, psychological, neurological and familial factors all have their shares alike. Familial factors are often implicated in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia^{28,29}. The present study focuses on the family particularly one aspect – the way schizophrenic patients and their normal siblings perceive their family, its functioning and their family members. It also highlights the differences between these perceptions.

Aim of the study

To compare perceptions regarding their family and its functioning between patients with schizophrenia and their normal siblings.

Correspondence:

Dr. Avinash De Sousa, Consultant Psychiatrist, Carmel, 18 St. Francis Avenue, Willingdon Colony Santacruz West Mumbai-54, India.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in a psychiatric hospital within the city of Mumbai with the patients being recruited over a period of 5 years from Jan 2000 – Jan 2005. The sample consisted of 300 patients with schizophrenia. These patients were seen in ambulatory care setting in the same hospital along with one normal sibling for each of them.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for the Patients

1. They must be in the age range 21-60 years.
2. They must be diagnosed as schizophrenia using the DSM-IV criteria (APA, 1994) with persistent symptoms for a period of at least 1 year.
3. Absence of any organic disorder / medical illnesses.
4. The patient must not be absent from home for a period of 1 month or more for any reason during the last 3 months.

Exclusion Criteria for the Patients

1. Mental retardation
2. Active psychotic symptoms or patients in acute phase of illness

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for the Normal Sibling:

1. Siblings staying with the patient were enrolled.
2. Should be in the age range 21-60 years.
3. Should not be absent from home for a period of 1 month or more for any reason during the last 3 months.

Exclusion Criteria for the Normal Siblings

1. Those with below normal intelligence on general screening.
2. Those with any psychiatric and medical illness.

Tools Used in Assessment

The following tools were used in assessing both the groups:

1. **Multi Dimensional Semi Structured Interview** – that was made up of questions regarding personal data, socio-demographic profile and history of the present illness.
2. **Family Functioning Scale (FFS)** – used to measure the perception of various areas of family environment. It is a standardized, com-

prehensive scale that measures the family system. It consists of 75 one-sentence descriptions (marked yes or no) that are categorized in 15 areas. The score of each area is a summation of the scores of 5 items relevant in the scale to that area. The scale has a total of 15 areas with 'yes' scores favorable in 9 areas and 'no' scores favorable in 6 areas³⁰.

3. **The McMaster Family Assessment Device (FAD)** – is used to assess global family functioning. It is a 53 item self reported measure with demonstrated reliability and validity. The 12 item General Functioning Scale was used as a summary measure of family functioning. Mean scores of 2.0 or more on this 12 item validated scale are considered indicative of unhealthy family functioning³¹⁻³³.
4. **The Family Support Scale (FSS)** – A seven item scale to measure family support. Participants had to indicate their agreement with statements if they could rely on their family for financial assistance and if their family would always be there if they needed them. Responses were provided on a 4 point scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It has a good reliability in English (Cronbach's alpha = 0.85)³⁴.

Statistical Analysis

Two groups were formed for the sake of statistical analysis. Group A consisted of patients with Schizophrenia (N= 300), while Group B comprised of normal siblings (N=300). Group differences were analyzed using the Chi square test and the unpaired t-test wherever appropriate. The analysis was done on the computer using an appropriate software package.

RESULTS

The demographic data of both groups revealed no significant difference between age, sex and educational variables. Hence both groups were well matched in this regard (Table 1).

When examined for employment and marital status (Table 2), the two groups showed a significant difference. More patients were unemployed compared to normal siblings. More patients were single compared to their normal siblings.

On assessing the data on religion (Table 3) it was seen that the largest group of patients were Hindu. Majority had 5-7 members in the family indicating that a variety of interpersonal transactions and thought systems prevailed in the family.

Paranoid schizophrenia was the most common type in our group (Table 4).

Table 1
Age, Sex & Education Data of Both Groups

Demographic Data		Patient Group (n = 300)	Siblings Group (n = 300)	p Value
Age groups	21-30 Yrs	117 (39%)	112 (37.33%)	X ² 5.4763 df = 3 p = 0.673 NS
	31-40 Yrs	103 (34.33%)	115 (38.33%)	
	41-50 Yrs	58 (19.33%)	63 (21%)	
	51-60 Yrs	22 (7.33%)	10 (3.33%)	
	Mean Age	31.66 years	32.33 years	
Sex	Male	207 (69%)	198 (66%)	X ² 0.6153 p = 0.4328 NS
	Female	93 (31%)	102 (34%)	
Education	Primary	23 (7.66%)	24 (8%)	X ² 2.4338 p = 0.2961 NS
	Secondary	18 (6%)	28 (9.33%)	
	Graduates & above	259 (86.33%)	248 (82.66%)	

NS – not significant Chi Square test used in the assessment.

Table 2
Employment & Marital Status of Both Groups

Demographic Data		Patient Group (n = 300)	Siblings Group (n = 300)	p Value
Employment	Employed	84 (28%)	223 (74.33%)	X ² 128.876 p = 0.0001*
	Unemployed	216 (72%)	77 (25.66%)	
Marital Status	Married	88 (29.33%)	245 (81.66%)	X ² 167.28 p = 0.0001*
	Single	189 (63%)	52 (17.33%)	
	Divorced	23 (7.66%)	03 (1%)	

* Significant Chi Square test used in the assessment.

Table 3
Religion and Family Size of the Patients

Data		Total (n = 300) (%)
Religion	Hindu	192 (64%)
	Muslim	62 (21%)
	Christian	44 (14.66%)
	Others	02 (0.66)
Family Size	Upto 4 Members	38 (12.66%)
	5 – 7	201 (67%)
	8 – 10	46 (15.33%)
	11 & above	15 (5%)
Family Type	Nuclear	141 (47%)
	Joint	21 (7%)
	Extended	138 (46%)

Table 4
Types of Schizophrenia (as per DSM – IV)

Type of Schizophrenia	(N = 300) (%)
Paranoid	165 (55%)
Catatonic	33 (11%)
Disorganized	36 (12%)
Undifferentiated	66 (22%)

On assessing the scores on the Family Functioning Scale (FFS), it was noted that the patient group had lower scores on all items of scales compared to their normal siblings (Table 5). They perceived cohesion, expressiveness, conflicts, organization, family sociability, idealization, and authoritarian family style, Laissez faire family style to be present in a significantly greater manner than their normal siblings (p < 0.05). The global scores too reflected a significantly less positive percep-

Table 5
Perceptions of Family Functioning

Item	Mean Scores Patient group (n = 300)	Siblings Group (n = 300)	t value	p Value
Cohesion	1.63 ± 0.53	1.86 ± 0.46	2.1154	0.0369*
Expressiveness	1.72 ± 0.62	2.14 ± 0.43	9.6414	0.0001*
Conflicts	1.68 ± 0.93	2.47 ± 0.87	10.7446	0.0001*
Cultural	2.16 ± 0.83	2.23 ± 0.96	0.9554	0.3398
Recreational	2.24 ± 0.46	2.18 ± 0.67	1.0656	0.2870
Religiosity	2.86 ± 1.06	2.78 ± 1.03	0.9375	0.3489
Organization	1.93 ± 0.78	2.65 ± 0.89	10.5378	0.0001*
Sociability	1.78 ± 0.99	2.72 ± 1.22	10.3627	0.0001*
External Control	2.06 ± 0.67	2.13 ± 0.72	1.2382	0.2182
Idealization	1.36 ± 0.27	1.67 ± 0.38	6.6881	0.0002*
Disengagement	2.28 ± 0.89	2.43 ± 0.88	2.0758	0.0383*
Democracy	1.43 ± 0.89	2.08 ± 0.86	6.9987	0.0001*
Authoritarian	1.89 ± 0.86	2.04 ± 0.56	2.5316	0.0116*
Laissez-faire Style	1.67 ± 0.83	2.27 ± 0.86	7.1010	0.0001*
Enmeshment	2.08 ± 0.74	2.19 ± 1.18	1.3679	0.1719
Global Scores	29.62 ± 3.61	36.8 ± 4.71	22.4243	0.0001*

* Significant.

Unpaired t test used in the assessment.

Table 6
Scores on Family Assessment Device

Data	Patient Group (n = 300)	Siblings Group (n = 300)	p Value
Scores on the Family Assessment Device	2.1 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.7	t = 7.5147 p = 0.0001*

* Significant.

Unpaired t test used in the assessment.

Table 7
Scores on Family Support Scale

Data	Patient Group (n = 300)	Siblings Group (n = 300)	p Value
Scores on the Family Support Scale	13.6 ± 5.8	18.3 ± 5.9	t = 9.8395 p = 0.0001*

* Significant.

Unpaired t test used in the assessment.

tion about family functioning by the patient group; these scores differed on majority of items in a significant manner ($p < 0.0005$).

On assessing the mean scores on the General Functioning Scale of the McMaster Family Assessment Device (FAD; Table 6), it was noted that patients reported unhealthy family functioning as compared to their normal siblings ($p = 0.0001$). On assessment of the scores on the Family Support Scale (FSS) (Table 7), schizo-

phrenic patients perceived significantly less family support for themselves as compared to their normal siblings ($p = 0.0001$).

DISCUSSION

Family functioning in general has been thought to be deficient by patients with schizophrenia in their families as compared to other family members³⁹⁻⁴⁰. Low family sociability i.e. the extent to which family members

derive and seek gratification from social interaction with others along with a lack of cohesion i.e. the extent to which family members have bonding towards one another as perceived by schizophrenics have been noted in previous work³⁵. Conflicts between family members, authoritarian family styles i.e. the extent to which parents dominate the locus of rule making and low family sociability seen in our study replicates the findings of various studies³⁶⁻³⁸.

Schizophrenic patients often perceive their families as one where insecurity and dependency predominates leading to low self worth and self concept along with a negative evaluation of the family atmosphere. This is in keeping with previous work where schizophrenic patients have perceived poor family functioning as well as perceive the family as responsible for their illness³⁹⁻⁴⁰.

The fact that patients with schizophrenia often view family members as oppressive rather than supportive has been noted in many family studies. As mentioned previously, patients with schizophrenia often have a sense of rejection, alienation and isolation in them and a deficient self structure. Using projection as a major defense mechanism they often create a negative perception about their family⁴¹.

The demographic profile (work functioning, marital status) and schizophrenia sub-type in our study was consistent with wider descriptions in the literature. In our view this increases the internal and external validity of our study. However, there are certain limitations which should be kept in mind while reviewing our findings. This study was circumscribed to a group of 300 patients and their attendants' that were attending a psychiatric facility. Larger, representative studies across various cultures and various centers are needed to replicate these findings. A longitudinal study design would have greater yield in an area like family functioning and support.

Our study has implications on clinical case-management in Schizophrenia. The family plays multiple roles in the treatment process, course of the illness and in relapse prevention. It is therefore of utmost importance than in our routine practice we delve into family dynamics and work on this aspect of psychiatric illness. Psycho-education and family therapy must be entwined in routine psychiatric treatment programs and shall go a long way to reduce family burden and relapse-prevention in schizophrenia.

CONCLUSION

From the study we conclude that schizophrenic patients vary in their perceptions regarding family functioning and support as compared to their normal siblings.

REFERENCES

1. Barker P. Basic Family Therapy. New York : Basic Books, 1992.

2. Shepherd J. Sociology. New York : John Nicky, 1997.
3. Diamond G, Josephson A. Family based treatment and research – a 10 year update. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2005 ; 44: 872-87.
4. Milkowitz DJ. The role of family systems in severe and recurrent psychiatric disorders – a developmental psychopathology view. *Dev Psychopathol* 2004; 16: 667-88.
5. Kelly M, Newstead L. Family intervention in routine clinical practice – is it possible. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs* 2004; 11: 64-72.
6. Bergmark T. Models of family support in Sweden – from mistreatment to understanding. *New Dir Ment Health Serv* 1994; 62 : 71-7.
7. Veltman A, Cameron J, Stewart DE. The experience of providing care to relatives with chronic mental illness. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2002; 190: 108-14.
8. Margolin G, Chien D, Duman SE, Fuachier A, Gordis EB, Oliver PH, et al. Ethical issues in family and couple research. *J Fam Psychol* 2005; 19: 157-67.
9. Chan S, Yu Luw A. Quality of life in schizophrenia. *J Adv Nurs* 2004; 45: 72-83.
10. Marsh D, Johnson D. The family experience of mental illness. *Prof Psychol Res Pr* 1997; 28: 229-37.
11. Snellen M, Mack K, Trauer T. Schizophrenia, mental state and mother infant relationship – examining the relationship. *Aust NZ J Psychiatry* 1999; 33: 903-11.
12. Bosonac P, Burst A, Burrows G. Motherhood and schizophrenia – a review. *Aust NZ J Psychiatry* 2003; 37: 24-30.
13. Greenberg JS, Seltzer MM, Kraus MW, Chou RJ, Hong J. Effect of the quality of relationship between mother and adult children with schizophrenia, autism and Down's syndrome on maternal well being. *Am J Orthopsych* 2004; 74: 14-25.
14. de Haan L, Welborn K, Krikke M, Linzen DH. Opinions of mothers on the first psychotic episode and start of treatment of their child. *Eur Psychiatry* 2004; 19: 226-9.
15. Lidz T, Cornelison AR, Singer MT, Schafer S, Fleck S. *Schizophrenia and the Family*. New York, 1965.
16. Schulz PM, Dibble E, Targum SD, Kammen DP, Gershon ES. Patient and family attitudes to schizophrenia : implications for treatment. *Schizophr Bull* 1982; 8: 504-13.
17. Wynne LC. *The State of the Art in Family Therapy Research*. New York : Plenum Press, 1988.
18. Neill J. Whatever became of the schizophrenogenic mother. *Am J Psychother* 1990 ; 44: 499-505.
19. Hafner RJ, Miller RM. Predicting schizophrenia outcomes with self report measures of family interaction. *J Clin Psychol* 1991; 47: 33-41.
20. Ryan KA. Mothers of adult children with schizophrenia : an ethnographic study. *Schizophr Res* 1993; 11: 21-31.

21. Hartwell CE. The schizophrenogenic mother in American Psychiatry. *Psychiatry* 1996; 59: 274-97.
22. Gibbons J, Horn S, Powell J, Gibbons J. Schizophrenic patients and their families. *Br J Psychiatry* 1984; 144: 70-7.
23. Gopinath PS, Chaturvedi SK. Distressing behavior of schizophrenics at home. *Acta Psych Scand* 1992; 86: 185-8.
24. Techinsky U. Living with schizophrenia : the family experience. *Issues Ment Health Nurs* 2000; 21: 387-96.
25. Nechamkin Y, Salganik I, Modai I, Ponizovsky AM. Interpersonal distance in schizophrenia : the distance to the negative syndrome. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2003; 49: 166-74.
26. Rosenfarb IS, Bellack AS, Azai N, Kratz KM, Sayers S. Race, family interactions and patient stabilization in schizophrenia. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2004; 113: 109-15.
27. Leff J. Working with families of schizophrenic patients. *Br J Psychiatry* 1994; 23: 71-6.
28. McFarlane WR, Dixon L, Lukens E, Luksted A. Family psychoeducation and schizophrenia : a review of literature. *J Marit Fam Ther* 2003; 29: 223-45.
29. Bloom BL. Factor analysis of a self report measure of family functioning. *Fam Process* 1985; 24: 225-39.
30. Miller IW, Bishop DS, Epstein NB, Keitner GI. The McMaster Family Assessment : reliability and validity. *J Mar Fam Ther* 1985; 11: 345-56.
31. Byles J, Byrne C, Boyle MH, Oxford OR. Ontario Child Health Study : reliability and validity of the general functioning subscale of the McMaster Family Assessment Device. *Fam Process* 1988; 27: 97-104.
32. Stevenson-Hinde J, Akister J. The McMaster model of family assessment : observer and parental ratings in a non clinical sample. *Fam Process* 1995; 34: 337-47.
33. Turner RJ, Grindstaff CF, Phillips N. Social support and outcome in teenage pregnancy. *J Health Soc Behav* 1990; 31: 43-57.
34. Nuchterlein KH, Goldsetin MJ, Ventura A, Dawson NE, Doane NJ. Patient environment relationships in schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry* 1989; 155: 84-9.
35. Kircher TT, Luebe DT. Self consciousness, self agency and schizophrenia. *Cons Cogn* 2003; 12: 656-69.
36. Fallon IRH, Boyd JL, McGill JW. *The Family Care of Schizophrenia*. New York : Guilford Press, 1984.
37. Doane JA, Micklowitz DJ, Oranchak E, Jenkins JH. Parental communication deviance and schizophrenia : a cross cultural perspective. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1989; 98: 487-90.
38. Micklowitz DJ, Velliga DI, Goldstein MJ, Nuchterlein KH, Gitlin MJ. Communication deviance in families of schizophrenic and manic patients. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1991; 100: 163-73.
39. Wuerker AM. Communication patterns in families of schizophrenic patients. *Schizophr Bull* 1996; 22: 671-90.
40. Nugter MA, Dingemans PM, Linszen DH, Van der Does AJ, Gersons BP. Relation between expressed emotion, affective style and communication deviance in recent onset schizophrenia. *Acta Psych Scand* 1997; 96: 445-51.
41. Lam DH. Psychosocial family intervention in schizophrenia : a review of empirical studies. *Psychol Med* 1991; 21: 423-41.